Abstract—The increased presence of photovoltaic (PV) systems inevitably affects the power quality in the grid. This new reality demands grid power quality studies involving PV inverters. This paper proposes several frequency response models in the form of equivalent circuits. Models are based on laboratory measurements performed on five types of commercially available PV inverters, and fitted to obtain circuit parameters. The proposed models show a good agreement with the measured data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the growing interest in energy generation from renewable sources, the number of installed photovoltaic (PV) systems will continue to grow. These systems can be either stand-alone or grid-connected. In both cases, the DC output generated by PV cells is converted to AC power using inverters. Conversion using power electronics results in non-sinusoidal current waveforms.

To smooth the output waveform, grid-interfaced inverters are equipped with filters to attenuate the lower and higher frequency components of the harmonics. The filter, however, might interact with grid impedance and add an additional resonance in the system. This situation can increase the local voltage distortion. This is especially true with ever-increasing interest and development in smart grid which is meant to accommodate more renewable energy sources in distribution networks. It leads to more PV modules and inverters in the networks, and this brings the need to analyze different aspects of PV interaction, including harmonic studies. A common approach to study the behavior of PV inverters in the network is by simulating and analyzing the network using models of PV inverters and other network elements. The model used to represent PV inverters depends on the purpose of the study.

Examples of distribution network simulations with a large number of residential PV systems can be found in [1] and [2]. An adequate model of PV inverters found in harmonic studies is the Norton equivalent model (consisting of a harmonic current source with a parallel impedance), sometimes in series with an additional impedance [1]–[7], as depicted in Fig. 1. The series and parallel impedances usually simulate the output filter of the inverter, either represented by a resultant impedance ([1],[2],[4],[7]), or as physical components ([3],[5],[6]). The values of these impedances are also obtained from different approaches. Some are taken from typical values of commercial inverters ([1],[3]) while others are calculated from the nominal power of the inverters ([4],[5]). In [2], the current source is paralleled with a single capacitance.

![Fig. 1. An impedance and current source circuit as PV inverter model.](image)

A different approach has been taken to calculate the parameter values of the impedance model in [8]. This approach measures the harmonic voltage across and the harmonic current at the terminal output of the inverter (see Fig. 1) for several particular frequencies. The parameter values for each frequency ($I_P(h)$, $Z_X(h)$, and $Z_Y(h)$) are then calculated iteratively using the Newton-Raphson algorithm:

$$[I_P(h)Z_X(h)] - [I_{INV}(h)Z_X(h)] = V_{PCC}(h)$$

(1)

where $I_P$ is the harmonic current emitted by the inverter, $I_{INV}$ is the harmonic current at the output of the inverter, $V_{PCC}$ is the voltage at the connection point between the inverter and the grid, $Z_X$ and $Z_Y$ are the series and parallel impedance, respectively.

This approach is using a look-up table (there will be a different model for every frequency) which is not favorable...
because a large number of iterations are required to solve 3 unknown variables from only one equation. Moreover, since there are different models for different frequencies and the impedance value does not represent a particular element (capacitance/inductance), it is not possible to estimate the resonant frequency from this approach.

This paper presents an alternative impedance circuit as a PV inverter model, in order to investigate the relationship between the inverter and the network in the frequency domain. An experiment is set-up to measure the frequency response of inverters and an analytical approach is used to create the impedance model.

II. MEASUREMENT SETUP

The PV inverter impedance is estimated from harmonic voltages generated by a voltage source and the current responses of the inverter, as shown in Fig. 2. The impedance is calculated as:

\[ Z_h = \frac{V_h - V_{h0}}{I_h - I_{h0}} \quad 2 \leq h \leq 50 \quad (2) \]

where \( Z_h \) is the impedance, \( V_h \) is harmonic voltage source, \( I_h \) is the harmonic current at the output of the inverter, \( V_{h0} \) and \( I_{h0} \) are measured harmonic voltage and current when the fundamental voltage is applied without distortion.

The analog harmonic current and voltage are measured and sampled by a PC-based scope and analytically transformed into frequency domain with DFT using Matlab.

III. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The experiment is done on 5 commercial PV inverters: three single-phase inverters, one power router, and one three-phase inverter. Single-phase inverters (Inverter1, Inverter2, and Inverter3) have nominal output powers of 1200 W, 1500 W, and 1500 W, respectively. The single-phase power router has a nominal power of 5000 W, and the three-phase inverter 2600 W. Although the latter needs a 3-phase connection, it only feeds-in to the grid via 1 phase (hence it can be treated as a single-phase inverter).

A. Single-phase (SP) Inverters

All three SP inverters have impedance profile similar to that of a capacitor (i.e. the impedance is inversely proportional to the frequency). Thus, a single capacitor is adequate to model the impedance. For Inverter2, however, a better fitting is obtained when the model includes a resistor in series with the capacitance. The impedance profile of each of the inverters is shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5, while the impedance models of all three inverters are shown in Fig. 6. The impedance profile consists of harmonic and interharmonic measurement results. All three inverters are measured at the same input power, 900Wdc.

Fig. 3. The impedance profile of Inverter1 and the model.

Fig. 4. The impedance profile of Inverter2 and the model.
B. Single-phase (SP) Power Router

A power router operates in a slightly different way from most commercial PV inverters complying with “anti-islanding” regulation. A power router can be connected to a DC storage that delivers the power during grid faults. Although in principle it works as a SP inverter, the impedance profile differs from the other SP inverters; there is a parallel resonance at $f=33$. This happens due to the different topology of the filter inside the inverter. Only harmonic measurement results are presented here because it is not possible to do interharmonic measurements on this inverter. Interharmonic components of the voltage trigger the protection system of the power router.

Due to its unique profile, it is difficult to create the impedance model that fits very well. A good estimation, however, was obtained based on below considerations:
- the resonance is parallel, at $f=1650\text{Hz}$,
- a series resistor determines the values at lower harmonics,
- a damping resistor determines the peak values, and
- since lower harmonics are more common in the grid, more attention is given to fit the measurement curve at lower harmonics, as shown in Fig. 7.

C. Three-phase (TP) Inverter

Although in principal this TP inverter works like a SP inverter (because it feeds-in to one phase), the impedance profile looks different from other SP inverters. It still has the negative characteristic (the impedance decreases when the frequency increases) but it is unlike a capacitor behavior. Therefore, a complex impedance model like that of the power router is applied with different parameter values. Fig. 9 shows the impedance model and Fig 10 shows the impedance profile.
IV. GENERAL MODEL AND AGGREGATED MODEL

To keep all controlled variables similar among different SP inverters, all measurements are carried out at 900Wdc input power. Looking at the similarity between the three SP inverter output impedances, a simple general model to represent the output impedance of commercial SP inverters for 1-2kW can be deduced. It is a single capacitor with values ranging between 3.7-18.5μF. Typical values of output capacitor of commercial 1-3kW PV inverters are between 0.5-10μF, as reported in [2]. A single capacitance value cannot represent every inverter but using several values from the range is adequate. Note that the TP inverter in this experiment cannot be taken as a general case of SP inverter in 2-3kW power class because although it feeds in via 1 phase, it still needs 3-phase connection.

As for higher power class SP inverters, the model shown for the TP inverter and power router can serve as a general representation of the output impedance. The parameter values will surely be different from one inverter to the others and the exact parameter values can only be obtained from measurement. However, a look-up table of parameters for different power class (e.g. 4kW, 5kW) can be build which speaks for all inverters in that particular power class. If one wants to make a network simulation using this model, one can use the impedance circuit depicted in Fig. 11 with parameters from the look-up table.

\[
\frac{1}{Z_p} = \frac{1}{X_{C,1}} + \frac{1}{Z_{S,2}} + \frac{1}{X_{C,3}}
\]

Fig. 11. General model to represent a single phase PV inverter

When multiple inverters are connected to the grid, the parallel capacitance value will be higher and it might result in a lower resonant frequency. It is important, therefore, to include a model for an aggregation of inverters in the network simulation. It is adequate to use the same topology of simple and complex impedance circuit already presented; the only difference will be the parameters which result from parallel summation of individual parameters.

To validate this, an experiment was carried out to measure the output impedance of all three SP inverters connected in parallel. Fig. 11 shows the result of harmonic and interharmonic measurement.

Also shown in Fig.11 is the resultant impedance from the inverter’s individual impedances connected in parallel, calculated by Eq. 3, where \( Z_p \) is the resultant impedance, \( X_{C,1} \) is Inverter1’s capacitive reactance, \( Z_{S,2} \) is the series of resistor and capacitor of Inverter2, and \( X_{C,3} \) is Inverter3’s capacitive reactance. As with individual measurement of SP inverters, a curve fitting was also applied to the impedance and the curve of a single capacitor fits the measurement curve the best. The capacitance found from curve fitting is 30.5μF, which is close to the resultant capacitance of paralleled inverters 28.1μF (summation of 5.9μF, 3.7μF, and 18.5μF). The impedance profile from both capacitance values are shown in blue and green marker, respectively.

The influence of each individual inverter is also seen in the aggregated model. Fig. 4 shows significant discrepancies between measured and model impedance of Inverter2, particularly at lower frequencies. It translates to discrepancies between measured and calculated impedance of the aggregated model at lower frequencies, only much less because the other two inverters damp this phenomenon.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To understand the influence of PV inverters on harmonic voltages in low-voltage networks, it is useful to simulate the network including a proper inverter model. This paper has proposed several equivalent circuit models that can be used to represent the dominant resonant frequency of the inverter. The
A general model can be deduced using parameters that represent every power class. An aggregated model is also presented using the same topologies presented for individual inverter with parameters calculated from the parallel connection between the inverters. This model has been validated during the experiment. Another interesting thing found from the experiment is that the measured impedance is not influenced by the power rating of the inverter during measurement.

To have a complete Norton model of a PV inverter, further measurements and modeling of the harmonic currents emitted by the inverter needs to be done. With this complete model, one can simulate harmonic flow stemming from PV inverters in the network.
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